



Fitness to Study Policy

Part 1 - FtS Policy

- 1 Introduction**
- 2 Application**
- 3 Definitions**
- 4 Norland Code**
- 5 Health & Disability Issues**
- 6 Fitness to Practise and Fitness to Study**
- 7 Support**
- 8 Suspension**
- 9 Placements**
- 10 Professional Judgement**
- 11 Outcome**
- 12 Information Sharing and Security**

Part 2 - FtS Procedure

- Stage 1 - Cause for action**
- Stage 2 - Formal investigation**
- Stage 3 - Fitness to Study Panel**
- Stage 4 - Decide on appropriate action**
- Stage 5 - Outcomes**
- Failure to acknowledge notice of concern and failure to attend**
- Stage 6 - Opportunity to Appeal**
- Stage 7 - Monitoring and sign off**
- Interruption from and return to study**
- Record keeping**

Part 3 - Appendices

- 1 - Cause for action Notification Form**
- 2 - Support Plan for Improvement**
- 3 - Template for Investigation Report**
- 4 - Guidance for Chairing Disciplinary meeting**
- 5 - Template for FtS Hearing Report**
- 6 - Completion of Proceures Letter**



1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1** Norland is committed to supporting its students to succeed by entering into a partnership with them whereby staff and students work together for academic and professional success. Students at Norland study for the BA (Hons) in Early Years Development and Learning or BA (Hons) Early Childhood Education and Care and the Norland Diploma. These two qualifications enable graduates to work without supervision with babies, young children and their families.
- 1.2** Under the Statutory Framework for the Early Years Foundation Stage (2014), 3.9, “Providers must ensure that people looking after children are suitable to fulfil the requirements of their roles. Providers must have effective systems in place to ensure that practitioners and any other person who is likely to have regular contact with children (including those living or working on the premises) are suitable.”
- 1.3** Students are required to undertake external placements and Norland has a duty to both the student and to the public to ensure that any risk of harm is minimised.
- 1.4** Norland has a responsibility regarding students studying these programmes, to ensure that they are fit to study under these circumstances.
- 1.5** Fitness to study is the ability of students to meet the criteria set out under the generic standard of fitness detailed in section 1.6.
- 1.6** NorlandNorland seeks to ensure equity and consistency of treatment for all students. The following generic standard of fitness applies to all students.

Students should have:

- An appropriate awareness of their own health and safety and that of others.
- An appropriate awareness of the risk of physical danger to themselves and others.
- The ability to communicate effectively with fellow students, staff and other appropriate professionals. This includes – and is not limited to - alternative methods of communication such as, for example, British Sign Language or computer aided speech.
- The ability to undertake periods of study independently (using appropriate support as required).
- The ability to attend and engage effectively in lectures, tutorials and other learning activities, with reasonable adjustments as necessary.
- The ability to submit coursework within required academic timescales with reasonable adjustments if necessary.
- The ability to undertake assessment and engage effectively with the assessment process, with reasonable adjustments if necessary.

In addition, students should demonstrate the ability to live independently.



1.7 This Fitness to Study policy is not a disciplinary process. The purpose of a fitness to study process is not to punish the student for wrongdoing. It is to ensure the wellbeing and success of the student and to safeguard public confidence in the profession.

1.8 This policy may need to be considered alongside the Norland Code of Professional Responsibilities (the professional standards), the Fitness to Practise Policy and the Student Cause for Action and Disciplinary Policy. This policy has been written to comply with the UK Quality Code, the Office for Students Sector Recognised Standards, the Good Practice Guidance published by the Office for the Independent Adjudicator (**OIA**) and the Norland Code of Professional Responsibilities.

2. APPLICATION

2.1 Usually, all concerns raised about a student are first considered under the [Cause for action procedure](#), which aims to take swift and appropriate action to resolve minor concerns or triage concerns so that they are dealt with under the most appropriate policy or procedure. Referrals from the Cause for Action procedure will trigger this policy, although we reserve the right to move straight to this policy if deemed appropriate.

2.2 Circumstances may arise where a student's fitness to study is called into question. These circumstances may be similar to issues that lead to the Student Disciplinary Policy and Fitness to Practise Policy. In deciding which policy to invoke, the Vice Principal will consider the following:

- Whether a student poses a risk to their own health, safety and/or wellbeing and/ or that of others
- Whether a student's behaviour is (or is at risk of) adversely affecting the teaching, learning and/or experience of other students
- Whether a student's behaviour is (or is at risk of) adversely affecting the day-to-day activities of Norland or a placement provider
- Possible triggers for alleged behaviour/incident
- Whether the concern leads to questions about a student's suitability to work with young children and their families
- Previous/current known health of the student/s involved
- Previous/current investigations under either policy
- Transferability of the behaviour to other situations

2.3 Fitness to Study concerns may also be identified as a result of investigations under other procedures, such as the Student Disciplinary Policy or the Academic Misconduct Policy. In these cases, support measures under the Fitness to Study policy may be applied alongside sanctions or other measures under the originating policy, without the need for another investigation. Sanctions and support measures may be applied together. For example, a Student Disciplinary Panel may apply a warning as a result of behaviour that breaches the Code of Professional Responsibilities and may also apply support measures under the Fitness to Study policy, such as conditions to be met within a Support Plan for Improvement.



2.4 Similarly, investigations under the Fitness to Study policy may be referred to any other policy if appropriate, for example to the Student Cause for Action and Disciplinary policy

2.5 Examples of issues that may lead to fitness to study concerns, where the student's ability to meet academic standards may be impaired, include but are not limited to:

- a progressive or sudden deterioration in a student's attendance, attention, quality of work, appearance, or behaviour;
- poor mental or physical health or serious physical impairment that interferes with the student's ability to study safely;
- where serious concerns have been expressed about the student's well-being or safety that indicate that there is a need to address the student's fitness to study;
- safeguarding concerns;
- where the student has provided a member of Norland with information that indicates that there is a need to address the student's fitness to study;
- where the student's participation in their programme is not enabling them to make progress with their studies and there is reason to believe that this is linked to their fitness to study;
- where the student's physical behaviour or demeanour is causing concern about the safety of themselves and or others, and is thought to be the result of an underlying physical or mental health issue;
- academic misconduct (for example plagiarism, cheating in examinations, forging records);
- other disciplinary offences (for example antisocial, abusive or threatening behaviour, sexual misconduct, violence, bullying or harassment, damage to property, internet access abuse, substance/alcohol abuse);
- health and safety breaches;
- behaviour away from the student's studies, including:
 - criminal conviction e.g. violent offence; offence of dishonesty
 - disruptive behaviour in the student accommodation or community;
 - inappropriate use of social media;
- failure to seek help or engage with appropriate services in relation to academic issues;
- poor communication or language skills.

2.6 As these examples indicate, concerns about a student's fitness to study may arise at any time throughout the student's studies.

2.7 This procedure will be triggered only after notification of a concern regarding a student and, usually, initial consideration under the Cause for Action procedures. The notification may come from a member of staff, a student, a placement setting or family or a member of the public. The notification may be in the form of a complaint, a concern or a referral from another procedure, for example the Student Disciplinary procedure.

3. DEFINITIONS



3.1 In the context of this procedure:

- the **'student'** is an enrolled student of NorlandNorland who has not yet commenced their NQN position and who has not yet completed their studies.
- **'Notifier'** means the person from whom the notification has been received. This may be a member of staff at Norland or placement, a fellow student, another NQN or Norlander or any other person, including members of the public or the Norlander stakeholder community.
- **Burden of Proof** determines whose responsibility it is to prove an issue. In a fitness to study case, the burden of proof is on Norland. That is, Norland must prove that the student has done what they are accused of doing. The student should not have to disprove the allegation.
- **Standard of Proof** is the level of proof required. Norland will use the standard applied to civil cases, normally 'the balance of probabilities', that is, something is more likely than not to have happened. Decisions must still be supported by evidence as the standard is higher than simply believing something is likely to have happened.
- **Misconduct**
 - a progressive or sudden deterioration in a student's attendance, attention, quality of work, appearance, or behaviour;
 - poor mental or physical health or serious physical impairment that interferes with the student's ability to study safely;
 - where serious concerns have been expressed about the student's well-being or safety that indicate that there is a need to address the student's fitness to study;
 - safeguarding concerns;
 - where the student has provided a member of Norland with information that indicates that there is a need to address the student's fitness to study;
 - where the student's participation in their programme is not enabling them to make progress with their studies and there is reason to believe that this is linked to their fitness to study;
 - where the student's physical behaviour or demeanour is causing concern about the safety of themselves and or others, and is thought to be the result of an underlying physical or mental health issue;
 - academic misconduct (for example plagiarism, cheating in examinations, forging records);
 - other proven disciplinary offences (for example antisocial, abusive or threatening behaviour, sexual misconduct, violence, bullying or harassment, damage to property, internet access abuse, substance/alcohol abuse);
 - health and safety breaches;
 - behaviour away from the student's studies, including:
 - criminal conviction eg violent offence; offence of dishonesty
 - disruptive behaviour in the student accommodation or community;
 - inappropriate use of social media;
 - failure to seek help or engage with appropriate services in relation to academic issues;
 - poor communication or language skills.



4. THE NORLAND CODE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES (THE NORLAND CODE)

- 4.1** The Norland Code sets out the required professional standards of all students, Newly Qualified Nannies (NQNs) and Norlanders. It is published on the website for any interested party.
- 4.2** All students sign a copy of the Norland Code and receive lectures on the [Student Charter](#) and the Norland Code to ensure that they fully understand what professional standards are required of them both during their training and after leaving Norland whilst working as an early years professional.
- 4.3** By signing the Norland Code, students are agreeing to comply with the Norland Code and any concerns related to professional standards may trigger this Procedure. NorlandNorland will take any alleged misconduct or breach as a Cause for Action which may lead to action being taken through the Fitness to Study Policy or any other appropriate procedure/policy.
- 4.4** Any matter related to safeguarding of children will automatically trigger the [Safeguarding policy](#) and, if upheld, is likely to proceed to a Formal Investigation.

5. HEALTH AND DISABILITY ISSUES

- 5.1** Sometimes fitness to study concerns are related to the student's mental or physical health, or to a disability.
- 5.2** Norland will make reasonable adjustments under the Equality Act 2010 for disabled students in order to support the student to meet the professional standards required. However, the professional standards as outlined in the Norland Code are considered competence standards under the Equality Act 2010 and must be met in full for a student to qualify as a Norland Nanny.
- 5.3** In order to ensure students are fully supported on placements, Norland will agree with the student before the placement starts what information can be shared with the placement.
- 5.4** If the matter leading to the fitness to study concern is potentially related to a student's disability, Norland will first consider whether the student requires additional support, and whether it should make reasonable adjustments to the way in which it is assessing the student. This might include agreeing adjustments to the required placement hours so that placement days are shorter but over a longer period of time. Norland will consider each case individually. It should be noted, however, that the learning outcomes for each award must be met in full in order to achieve the status of Norland Nanny.
- 5.5** Norland may require advice from medical professionals and may therefore organise an occupational health referral at its own expense.
- 5.6** In most cases, health conditions and disabilities do not affect a student's fitness to study, as long as the student:



- demonstrates appropriate insight;
- seeks appropriate medical advice; and
- complies with treatment and adjustments made.

6. FITNESS TO STUDY AND FITNESS TO PRACTISE

6.1 Where the Cause for Action and/or its associated behaviours relate to a condition that is affecting the student's ability to study effectively, the matter may be referred at any stage of the proceedings to NorlandNorland's Occupational Health Advisors, which may lead to procedures under the Fitness to Practise Policy, Student Cause for Action and Disciplinary Policy and/or [Safeguarding and Child Protection policy](#).

7. SUPPORT

7.1 Any student/s under investigation will be supported by their personal tutor at all stages of the process. The Student Support team/counselling service is also available to all parties and the [Extraordinary Assessment Circumstances](#) procedures may apply if any learning, teaching or assessments are impacted.

8. SUSPENSION

8.1 Suspension from NorlandNorland and/or placement is a last resort but may occur for a single incident without recourse to the early stages of the Procedure.

8.2 NorlandNorland reserves the right to suspend students as a neutral act and makes no presumption of fitness to study; the purpose of a suspension is to allow time for an investigation to be carried out and/or to safeguard the wellbeing of all involved. Suspension will be triggered when the risk of harm to others, or the student themselves, outweighs the potential disadvantage to the student. The effects of suspension includes non-attendance at Norland and/or placement, online or onsite, in any capacity. Where necessary, suitable support will be given to the student. NorlandNorland will explain to the student why they are being suspended, and for how long. The suspension will be reviewed periodically.

8.3 In normal circumstances a suspension will be actioned by the Principal or VP. The length of time for which a student is suspended will be determined on a case by case basis, depending on the needs of the individuals affected by the disciplinary investigation. The length of a suspension will always be proportionate to the individual situation.

8.4 Where a request is made to extend a suspension beyond 10 working days, the Principal or VP will review the request and agree to extend the suspension or refuse to extend the suspension. Where the suspension period is extended the length of time will be determined by the need for further investigation and reporting.

8.5 Students have the right to challenge a suspension or its length. They may do so by meeting with the Principal and explaining why they feel the suspension imposed on them is not



justified. The Principal may choose to modify or overturn the suspension and, in these circumstances, the complainant/s will be informed in advance that the student is back on site.

9. PLACEMENTS

9.1 Where a placement is suspended or terminated because of concerns about the student's fitness to study, NorlandNorland will carry out its own investigation into events which led to the termination. Where possible it should get witness statements from personnel at the placement, that the student can comment on. In some cases, it may be appropriate to ask placement personnel to attend a fitness to study hearing.

9.2 NorlandNorland will attach significant weight to the professional opinion of staff at the placement. However, it will also listen to the student's account of what happened on the placement and investigate any factual disputes or allegations that the student has not been treated fairly.

9.3 If NorlandNorland decides that the student should be allowed to continue with their studies (with appropriate support in place) it may not be possible for the student to return to the same placement because the relationship may have broken down. In this case, NorlandNorland will make every effort to find other placement opportunities for the student. Students should engage positively with this process.

10. PROFESSIONAL JUDGEMENT

10.1 The question of whether the student is fit to study at Norland is a question that can only be answered by someone with specialist knowledge of the programmes and the demands therein. That conclusion would be a professional judgement.

10.2 Those involved in considering a student's fitness to study must exercise their professional judgement on the basis of sound evidence. For example, where lecturers say that a student's behaviour is disruptive to their progress or achievement, witness evidence and student records should be considered to see whether they support the allegations against the student.

10.3 In some cases, the decision about whether the student is fit to study will not require professional judgment, for example, where the student has committed a violent offence.

11. OUTCOME

11.1 At the conclusion of the Fitness to Study process, the student or stakeholder who raised the issue should be given some resolution, within the boundaries of confidentiality. For example, they may be told that appropriate action has been taken, but not the details of what that action is. If they have experienced an adverse impact as a result of the behaviour of the student under investigation, an appropriate remedy may be offered, such as an



apology, counselling or mediation, depending on the circumstances and outcome of any formal or informal investigation and/or panel. If no further action is taken (and the reason for this may or may not be given) and the complainant/notifier is dissatisfied, they may make a formal complaint to NorlandNorland following the **Student Complaints Procedure or the Complaints Procedure for External Stakeholders**.

11.2 If the student/s under investigation make a counter-complaint against the notifier, this matter will be addressed separately and is likely to take place following the conclusion of the proceedings.

12. INFORMATION SHARING AND SECURITY

12.1 All records relating to any procedures within this policy will be stored on password protected, cloud-based drives and will only be accessible to the personnel involved in the investigation. They will only be kept for the timeframes indicated in Section 13 below.

12.2 In almost all cases, it is not appropriate for parents/carers of students, or other interested parties, to communicate with Norland staff in relation to any Fitness to Study proceedings. Therefore, all communications will be between the student concerned and NorlandNorland. Norland staff will only liaise with parents about wellbeing or mental health concerns.

12.3 Where concerns are raised about a student's mental health and NorlandNorland considers the student or others to be in danger, permission will be sought from the student to contact the parents/carers. Norland reserves the right to contact the parents/carers in exceptional circumstances where, in Norland's judgement, the student is at significant risk of harm. Students are made aware of this on enrolment and sign the enrolment form to accept it.

13. STAGE 1: CAUSE FOR ACTION

13.1 Stage 1 of this procedure is outlined in the [Cause for Action procedure](#), which describes the steps that will be taken whenever a Cause for Action is reported and/or observed.

14. STAGE 2: FORMAL INVESTIGATION

14.1 An Investigating Officer (IO) will be appointed by the VP (or nominee) to establish the facts of the case for the Cause for Action. The VP will provide the IO with the Cause for Action Notification Report which will become an Appendix to the Investigation Report. The IO will be a manager or senior member of staff.

14.2 The IO will have no prior knowledge of or involvement in the circumstances leading to the concern – this may mean appointing an IO from outside the academic department. If the matter is related to professional behaviour, the IO will have the relevant occupational knowledge of the Code of Professional Responsibilities to be able to assess the allegations made.



- 14.3** The investigation will follow the Formal Investigation procedure and a report written using the Investigation Report template ([Appendix 3](#)). The Principal will not be involved at this stage as they lead the formal Disciplinary Procedure (Stage 3) and beyond.
- 14.4** The VP will notify the student/s that a Formal Investigation will be carried out clarifying the process, timeframes and details of the appointed IO.
- 14.5** The purpose of the investigation is to establish the facts of the case in an objective and non-judgemental manner.
- 14.6** The IO will carry out the necessary investigations of the Cause for Action and potential fitness to study matter without unreasonable delay in order to establish the facts of the case. This will require the holding of an investigatory meeting (in person or online or by telephone) with the student/s, the notifier and any witnesses, where the facts of the case will be gathered. In most cases, the investigatory process will be the collation of evidence by the IO for use of the report. At least one week before any such meeting, a summary of the concern and any relevant documents will be sent to the student/s.
- 14.7** The student is permitted to be accompanied at an investigatory meeting by a friend or relative should they wish to be. They will answer questions from the IO in order to provide the context needed for the Investigation Report. At this stage, the IO is gathering information without judgement and the student will be able to respond to the concerns in this meeting. The student's comment will be part of the report.
- 14.8** As part of the investigation, the IO may require an occupational health assessment for the student. The IO will ask the VP to arrange for that to take place and further investigations may be paused until the report is received.
- 14.9** Norland will endeavour to complete its investigation within one month of the receipt of the Cause for Action. Where it is not possible to operate to this timeline, a new date for completion of the investigation will be provided.
- 14.10** In all cases, a record will be made of the process. This may include notes made of the initial notification of the Cause for Action, notes of the initial meeting between the VP (or nominee) and the student regarding the Cause for Action, all of which are likely to be included in the Cause for Action Notification Report. These notes can be used as evidence at any stage of the proceedings. These notes will be in addition to any correspondence between the relevant parties such as emails, and any relevant documentation such as the Cause for Action Notification Report.
- 14.11** All relevant tutors will be informed of any concern regardless of the outcome and/or action taken, so that appropriate support can be offered to all parties. It may also be necessary to inform the Placement Manager.



14.12 Any concerns regarding the conduct of the investigation can only be made after the investigation has concluded. The student/s will be given the opportunity to provide their own evidence and view regarding the concerns during the course of the investigation. This may require the IO to have further meetings and gather further evidence. However, the IO reserves the right to draw a halt to enabling the student/s to provide further evidence. They will be given an opportunity to raise additional evidence during the course of the Fitness to Study Panel should this be the outcome, ensuring their opportunity for 'right of reply'.

14.13 Once complete, the Investigation Report (see Appendix 3) will be forwarded to the VP (or nominee), along with any evidence gathered. The IO will ensure they make suitable recommendations based on the Standard of Proof and will provide a rationale for the decision made. The recommendations will be one of the following options:

14.13.1 No further action - If the IO believes that there is no cause for action, a recommendation of no further action will be made and a record will be made of the concern for internal records only and for a period of one year. An example of this would be where two students give different accounts of a minor incident and it remains impossible to corroborate either account through witnesses or other evidence. The Investigation Report will outline the rationale for the recommendation based on the Standard of Proof.

14.13.2 Informal Resolution – if the IO has sufficient evidence against the Standard of Proof to merit further action but the evidence reveals the Cause for Action does not merit moving to a Formal Warning or Fitness to Study stage, the recommendation can be an informal resolution which may or may not include supportive conditions to be met . For example, if the investigation process reveals the student concerned acted unintentionally or a misunderstanding occurred and/or the matter has since been resolved amicably, but was sufficiently serious in nature and a breach of the Code and thus merits some kind of informal outcome and may include conditions about steps the student must take to improve. Recommendations made regarding the sufficiency or nature of the evidence and misdemeanour will be conducted in an objective and non-judgemental manner. Recommendations regarding the informal outcome might include an apology or reparation of some kind. The Investigation Report will outline the rationale for the recommendation based on the Standard of Proof.

14.13.3 Formal Resolution with Support Plan for Improvement – if the IO has sufficient evidence against the Standard of Proof to merit further action and the Cause for Action is deemed to be of sufficient merit to require further action and to merit setting Formal Conditions and/or other action, but does not merit proceeding to the Fitness to Study stage, the IO may recommend a Formal Warning and/or other action. For example, if the concern was acknowledged and a breach of the Code, but the student has admitted their guilt and the matter has been resolved amicably and/or the concern was acknowledged and a breach of the Code has occurred but the student's conduct is otherwise exemplary and there is evidence that the concern has not been repeated, and/or the concern was historical. Recommendations made regarding the sufficiency or nature of the evidence



and concern will be conducted in an objective and non-judgemental manner. Recommendations regarding other actions might include an apology and reparation of some kind. The Investigation Report will outline the rationale for the recommendation based on the Standard of Proof.

14.13.4 Proceed to Fitness to Study Panel - if the IO has sufficient evidence against the Standard of Proof to merit further action and/or the Cause for Action is deemed to be sufficiently serious to merit further action, and/or there are conflicting accounts given by the parties concerned and/or the student denies culpability despite the evidence to the contrary, and/or where the Cause for Action has also resulted in a police investigation and/or the evidence suggests that the student should not be in college and/or attending placement, the IO may recommend proceeding to the Fitness to Study stage. Recommendations made regarding the sufficiency or nature of the evidence and misdemeanour will be conducted in an objective and non-judgemental manner. The Investigation Report will outline the rationale for the recommendation based on the Standard of Proof.

14.14 The VP (or nominee) will then decide what action will be taken and will usually take action based on the recommendations made by the IO. If necessary, the VP will consult with a relevant senior member of staff to decide on the next course of action. If the course of action goes against the recommendation by the IO, the VP will need to include her own rationale for the decision based on the available evidence against the Standard of Proof. The decision will be one of the following options:

14.14.1 No further action – If the VP agrees with the recommendation by the IO of ‘No further action’, the VP will ensure the Investigation Report is kept on file as an internal record. No record will be made in the student’s file. If appropriate, the VP will offer support to the students in order to resolve any outstanding difficulties. However, should a similar Cause for Action be investigated again, the VP may take into account the previous record when deciding which option for next steps to pursue.

14.14.2 Informal Resolution – If the VP agrees with the recommendation by the IO of an ‘Informal Resolution’, the VP will, in consultation with relevant Senior Staff member/s if necessary, implement informal actions, for example setting conditions to support the student’s improvement, requiring an apology from the student or other reparation as appropriate to the incident. An internal record will be kept of the Informal Resolution process on the Investigation Report. The Informal Resolution will be put on the student’s online record and removed once the VP (or nominee) is satisfied the matter is resolved.

14.14.3 Formal Resolution with Support Plan for Improvement – If the VP agrees with the recommendation by the IO of a ‘Formal Resolution with a Support Plan for Improvement and/or other action’, the VP will, in consultation with relevant Senior Staff member/s if necessary, implement a ‘Support Plan for Improvement’ (Appendix 2). A Support Plan for Improvement will require the student to show demonstrable improvement in the area of concern over a suitable period of time. Normally this will be overseen by the



student's Tutor. When complete, it will be signed off by the VP. The Support Plan for Improvement will be reviewed regularly and, if unsatisfactory progress has been made, the VP will decide whether to extend the time period and/or amend the Support Plan for Improvement or may escalate the matter to Fitness to Study proceedings. If relevant, the Formal Conditions will be added to the student's file until they have been met

An internal record will be kept of the Formal Resolution process on the Investigation Report. The Support Plan for Improvement will be put on the student's online record and removed once the VP (or nominee) is satisfied the student has met the conditions of the Support Plan for Improvement. Thereafter, the Support Plan for Improvement will be removed from the student's file.

14.14.4 Proceed to Fitness to Study Panel - If the VP agrees with the recommendation by the IO of proceeding to the Fitness to Study stage, the VP will refer the matter to the Principal to hold a panel hearing.

14.15 The VP will inform the student within 5 working days of receiving the report about what the next steps will be and who, if anyone, will be in touch with the student to make the necessary arrangements for the implementation of the decision. The student will be sent a copy of the final report and evidence but evidence may be redacted depending on the circumstances. For example, if a witness is a whistleblower and their identity needs to be withheld.

15. STAGE 3: FITNESS TO STUDY PANEL HEARING

15.1 The Principal (or nominee) will convene a Fitness to Study Panel to hear the details of the alleged concern and decide the outcome. Guidance notes on conducting a formal meeting are included in Annex 2.

15.2 The Fitness to Study Panel will be composed of at least two members of the Senior Leadership Team, one of whom must be the Principal as Chair, or her nominee, and include a Student Representative, usually the Head of Students or nominee. The majority of the membership should be made up of those who can exercise professional judgement over the concern. This may mean that additional members of the panel are needed and these will be appointed by the Principal or nominee. No-one who has previously been involved in the allegations or investigation will be included on the Panel, so the IO and VP (or nominee) will not be part of the Panel, although they may be required to provide any necessary clarification of the evidence.

15.3 The meeting should be held without unreasonable delay whilst allowing the student reasonable time to prepare their case. Usually this will mean giving the student 10 working days' notice.

15.4 The student is entitled to be accompanied at the hearing by a parent or friend of their choice. The student should inform the Principal of the name of their companion at the earliest opportunity and no later than 24 hours before the hearing is due to take place. It is



not normally necessary for the student or Norland to have legal representation at the hearing.

- 15.5** The student is entitled to call witnesses to support their case. The student should inform the Principal of the name/s of their witness/es at the earliest opportunity and no later than 24 hours before the hearing is due to take place. Witnesses may attend in person or via video call.
- 15.6** The student (and their companion) should make every effort to attend the meeting. At the meeting the Chair should explain the Cause for Action accusation against the student and go through the evidence that has been gathered.
- 15.7** The Chair of the Panel may invite the IO to present the case against the student, giving the student the opportunity to ask questions of the IO as part of the hearing. The Chair will consider the evidence contained within the report, whether the allegations are contested and whether the student has requested the attendance of the IO, when deciding whether it is appropriate to ask the IO to attend.
- 15.8** If the student wishes to ask questions of the IO, they should inform the Chair prior to the panel hearing so that arrangements can be made to ensure attendance.
- 15.9** If the student decides during the panel hearing that they would like to ask questions of the IO, and the IO is not present, the Chair will adjourn the hearing until such time that the IO is available to attend.
- 15.10** The student should be allowed to set out their case and answer any allegations that have been made. The student should also be given a reasonable opportunity to ask questions, present evidence and, if appropriate, call relevant witnesses. They should also be given an opportunity to raise points about any information provided by any witnesses. Where a student intends to call one or more witnesses they must give advance notice that they intend to do this. See 1.5.
- 15.11** The companion to the student may be allowed to address the hearing to put and sum up the student's case, respond on their behalf to any views expressed at the meeting and confer with them during the hearing. The companion will not, however, have the right to answer or ask questions on the student's behalf, address the hearing if the student does not wish it or prevent the student from explaining their case.
- 15.12** Where necessary, the Chair may decide to suspend the deliberations of the Panel and reconvene pending further information or in order to access legal advice.

16. STAGE 4: DECIDE ON APPROPRIATE ACTION

- 16.1** Judgements about a student's fitness to study will be made based on the Balance of Probability Standard of Proof; that is, whether the events in questions were more likely than



not to have happened, based on the evidence presented in the form of the Investigation Report and any evidence presented at the hearing. The student's response and conduct during the investigation and hearing, and any admission or remorse shown will also be taken into consideration.

- 16.2** There may be an immediate, verbal notification of any judgements and any support to be applied which will be followed up in writing, or a written notification normally within 10 working days of the Fitness to Study hearing in the form of a Fitness to Study Report (see [Appendix 5](#)).
- 16.3** Where the Fitness to Study Panel finds that there is insufficient evidence to uphold the concern, the Panel may dismiss the case or refer it back to one of the Informal Resolution options outlined in 15.13B above.
- 16.4** Where the concern is justified, the Fitness to Study Panel will decide whether level 1 or level 2 (see [Appendix 5](#)) support will be applied.
- 16.5** If a student's first misconduct/concern is sufficiently serious, level 2 support may be applied. This might occur where their actions have had, or are liable to have, a serious or harmful impact on Norland, other students, staff, placements or the children or families with whom they work or where, in the Panel's reasonable judgment, the concern remains and there is no reasonable expectation that it will improve. The Child Protection and Safeguarding Policy process or Disciplinary process may also need to be invoked.
- 16.6** The support and/or conditions applied will be communicated to the student in writing via the Fitness to Study Report which will set out the outcome of the formal stage and give a clear explanation of, and setting out the reasons for, each decision and any penalty in straightforward language. It will also outline the change in behaviour or improvement in performance required (with timescale) such as suggesting a Notice of Improvement.
- 16.7** The support and any conditions imposed must be reasonable and proportionate to the concerns. In addition to clarifying the rationale behind the level of support imposed, the report must also state why other levels of support have not been applied.
- 16.8** In the report, the Fitness to Study Panel must set out the timeline for the support and the circumstances under which the record can be removed from the student's file.
- 16.9** The student will also be informed of the consequences of further concerns within a set period, for instance that it may ultimately result in expulsion from Norland.
- 16.10** As well as making a judgment about the validity of the accusation, the Fitness to Study Panel will also make the following decisions:
- 4.10.1** If and how the outcome of the hearing is communicated to various stakeholders, including the notifier/s, the tutor, the student support team and any future



placements. Stakeholders will be told that 'appropriate action has been taken'. Details of the outcome or any support or sanction applied will not be disclosed to any of the stakeholders.

4.10.2 Whether any support or sanctions should be applied and, if so, what they should be.

4.10.3 Where necessary, for example for safeguarding purposes, the Panel reserves the right to inform the student's placements or future NQN employers of any details that they may need in order to support the student further.

4.11 When the Fitness to Study Panel sends the report to the student, the communication will give information about the student's right to appeal, the grounds on which they can do so, the time limit for submitting an appeal, the appropriate procedure and where and how to access support.

4.12 Some concerns are so serious in themselves or have such serious consequences that they may call for expulsion from Norland immediately.

4.13 Such concerns includes but are not limited to actions which have, or are likely to have, caused harm or injury to a child or fellow student including sustained and serious bullying and/or harassment, serious breaches of confidentiality, serious insubordination, gross negligence, criminal offences which would prevent the student working with children, actions which bring Norland into disrepute, theft or fraud.

4.14 Where the student's actions have had a detrimental effect on another party, the Fitness to Study Panel will decide what reparation is required. This may include a detailed apology, participation in mediation where relationships have been damaged or any other action the panel deems necessary.

4.15 If a mediator is appointed in order to help resolve a particular matter between relevant parties, such as a matter related to housing or serious conflicts between students, the cost of this may need to be met by the student/s causing the concerns and this will be decided by the Principal. Should a mediation process be deemed to be necessary in order to help resolve any discord, the student will be obliged to participate and this may be a condition of the judgement made by the Fitness to Study Panel.

17. STAGE 5: OUTCOMES

17.1 The Fitness to Study panel will consider a student's previous disciplinary and fitness to study record and, if relevant, their health record, when determining a student's overall fitness to study. The Fitness to Study Panel may ask for information from either the student or the VP before their decision about fitness has been made and prior to their decision about support and/or sanctions to be applied.



- 17.2** If a student is found unfit to study, a range of outcomes is available to the Fitness to Study panel.
- 17.3** Level 1 support and/or conditions should be applied where the concern about fitness to study has been upheld but, in the judgement of the Fitness to Study Panel, the outcome of the concern has not led to any long-term consequences for anyone involved and/or it is the first time a concern has been raised about the student and the student has acknowledged the issue and/or the concern is judged to be minor in nature and/or there are compelling personal circumstances that affected the student's judgement at the time of the concern which are no longer having an impact and/or there has been no damage to the Norland brand. Conditions to support the student to improve may be applied if the panel deems the concern to be of sufficient concern, particularly if a breach of the Code has occurred. The Level 1 conditions will be recorded on the online student's file for the duration of the Support Plan for Improvement.
- 17.4** Level 1 support and/or conditions may include a series of supportive improvement measures to give students an opportunity to put right the issues identified and demonstrate fitness to study, for example regular meetings with the tutor, referral to Student Support or an occupational health assessment. It may also include a Support Plan for Improvement report ([Appendix 2](#)). The Level 1 support will be recorded on the online student's file for the duration of the Support Plan for Improvement.
- 17.5** Level 2 support and/or conditions should be applied where the concern has been upheld and, in the judgement of the Fitness to Study Panel, the outcome of the concern has led to long-term consequences for anyone involved and/or it is not the first concern for the student and/or the student has not acknowledged the issue and/or the concern is judged to be serious in nature and/or the student will not engage with the support offered and/or the concern is likely to continue over time and/or there has been damage to the Norland brand.
- 17.6** Level 2 support may include suspension from college or placement to allow the student to put right the issues identified with a Support Plan for Improvement report, asking the student to interrupt their studies to allow the student to put right the issues identified and demonstrate fitness to study with a Support Plan for Improvement report or removal from one or both the courses. The Level 2 outcome will be recorded on the online student's file for the duration of the Support Plan for Improvement.
- 17.7** If a Support Plan for Improvement is imposed at either level, the Chair of the Fitness to Study Panel will nominate a member of staff to oversee and develop the plan in conjunction with the student, taking into account any actions recommended by the Panel. The Chair will set a review date, at which point it is expected that appropriate improvement will have been demonstrated.
- 17.8** A decision to remove a student from their course can only be taken by the Principal or nominee. The student should be informed as soon as possible of the reasons for the expulsion and their right of appeal.



17.9 If the student does not appeal within the time limit for doing so, the matter will be closed and a Completion of Procedures Letter will be issued (see Appendix 6).

18. FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE NOTICE OF CONCERN AND FAILURE TO ATTEND

18.1 If the student fails to acknowledge notice of the concern and subsequent invitation to help with the investigation, the Principal (or nominee) will write to the student at their address as registered with Norland and at any other address known to Norland.

18.2 If, after all reasonable steps have been taken by the Principal, the student fails to acknowledge the notice of the concern or fails to attend any meeting arranged by Norland without proper and reasonable excuse, the Principal will write to the student notifying him/her that as the matter has not been properly discussed the following result will ensue:

- A note of the student's failure to attend the meeting or acknowledge the investigation will be made on the student's file.
- The student will be unable to attend College or any placements until the matter has been fully investigated.
- The matter will be referred to the Fitness to Study Panel who will decide what action to take in the student's absence. The Fitness to Study Panel's decision will be final, subject to any Appeal lodged by the student in accordance with the Appeals Procedure set out in this policy. This Appeal may not be on the basis of non-attendance if this was due to the student's failure to acknowledge or failure to attend unless there are extraordinary assessment circumstances for this failure.

19. STAGE 6: OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAL

19.1 Appeals against the decision of the Fitness to Study Panel

Appeal against suspension/expulsion:

- Where the recommendation of the Panel is that the student should be suspended or expelled from the programme and/or Norland, the student has a right of appeal to the Board of Directors, once this recommendation has been confirmed by the Principal (or nominee).
- The request for such an appeal must be made in writing, providing full supporting evidence and grounds for appeal, to the Chair of the Board of Directors within 10 working days of receipt of the decision.

19.2 Appeal against other decisions of the Fitness to Study Panel:

- Students may not appeal against the professional judgement of the Panel unless they can demonstrate that:
 - The process has not been conducted correctly, in accordance with the procedures



- There has been a material administrative error
- The decision of the Panel is unreasonable based on the evidence available to the panel and the Standard of Proof
- There was evidence that was available at the time of the original hearing that was not considered by the Panel
- New evidence has come to light that could not have been considered previously.

19.3 Appeals should be made in writing, with full supporting evidence, to the Chair of the Board of Directors within 10 working days of the receipt of the letter notifying the student of the Fitness to Study Panel decision.

19.4 The student should be made aware that this is not a re-opening of the investigation and that they must provide reasonable evidence to substantiate their appeal. Disagreement with the professional judgment of the Fitness to Study Panel is not in itself sufficient reason to appeal.

19.5 The Appeals Panel will consist of three members of the Board of Directors and will convene to consider whether the documentation of the case suggests that the decision of the original Fitness to Study Panel was reasonable and the procedure was followed correctly.

19.6 If the student, having been given the opportunity to attend the hearing, fails to do so without reasonable explanation, the Appeals Panel may proceed in their absence.

19.7 The Appeals Panel may:

- Amend the decision of the original Fitness to Study Panel
- Uphold the decision of the original Fitness to Study Panel
- Refer the case back for reconsideration by the original Fitness to Study Panel
- Defer the decision pending further information and advice
- Reject the Appeal.

19.8 The decision and any recommendations of the Appeals Panel will be notified to the student and to the original Fitness to Study Panel. There will be no further right of appeal within Norland.

19.9 If the appeal is rejected or is not permitted to proceed under the grounds of appeal, a Completion of Procedures Letter ([COPL - Appendix 6](#)) will be sent to the student within 28 days. This will be accompanied by an explanation of the decision reached and the reasons for it.

19.10 The COPL will also advise the student about their right to submit a complaint to the OIA for review. Any complaint to the OIA must be brought within 12 months and further information about this can be found at <https://www.oiahe.org.uk/students/>.



19.11 Where an appeal is upheld, a written statement will be provided to the student that explains what action will be taken.

20. STAGE 7: MONITORING AND SIGN OFF

20.1 In all cases where a Support Plan or Improvement has been imposed, the issuing authority (Chair of the Fitness to Study Panel, Vice Principal or nominee) will appoint a member of staff, usually the personal tutor or Head of Learning and Teaching, to work with the student/s to meet the requirements of the Support Plan for Improvement.

20.2 Once the Support Plan for Improvement requirements have been met or at the review date set (whichever happens first), the persona appointed to oversee the process will report progress to the issuing authority (Chair of the Fitness to Study Panel, VP or nominee).

20.3 If all requirements have been met, the Support Plan for Improvement will be removed from the student's file.

20.4 If all requirements have not been met by the review date, the issuing authority will extend the time or refer the matter for further Fitness to Study Panel action.

21. INTERRUPTION FROM AND RETURN TO STUDY

21.1 The process by which a student interrupts and then returns to study is outlined in the [Interruption to Study policy and procedure](#).

21.2 The initial period of interruption will be for a period of up to 12 months. In most cases, students would be expected to have recovered sufficiently within this time to be able to resume studies. In exceptional circumstances, a further 12 month period of interruption may be required (a total maximum of 24 months). If, at the end of the 24 months period, the student is not sufficiently fit to resume their studies, their programme of study will be terminated.

21.3 If the period of interruption results in the student exceeding the normal maximum period of registration, their studies will be terminated, except that in exceptional circumstances, a notwithstanding case may be made to allow the student to continue their studies.

21.4 Students will usually only be permitted to return from interruption at the start of the academic term.

22. RECORD KEEPING

22.1 All records associated with College fitness to study proceedings will be stored within a restricted access folder on Norland's internal sharepoint hub. This is a password-protected, cloud-based document storage facility. Access permissions to specific folders are only given to the investigating officer and the members of the disciplinary panel (if required).



22.2 Reports will be kept on the student and/ or the internal record as follows:

Report and/or record	Location	Timeframe
Cause of Action Notification report (stage 1)	Internal (anonymised)	6 years
Cause for Action Notification report (stage 1) - Informal Resolution	Internal (anonymised)	6 years
Informal Warning and Notice for Improvement (stage 1)	Student file	Once conditions have been met. No more than 12 months.
	Internal (anonymised)	6 years
Investigation Report (stage 2) – No further Action	Student file	12 months
	Internal (anonymised)	6 years
Investigation Report (stage 2) – Informal Resolution and Informal Warning	Student file	12 months
	Internal (anonymised)	6 years
Investigation Report (stage 2) – Formal Resolution and Formal Warning	Student file	12 months
	Internal (anonymised)	6 years
Investigation Report (stage 2) – Disciplinary Panel No further action Informal resolution and informal warning Formal resolution and formal warning and notice for improvement	All – internal (anonymised)	6 years
	Student file	12 months
	Student file	Once conditions have been met. No more than 12 months.
	Student file	Once conditions have been met. No more than 12 months.
Disciplinary Panel Hearing (stage 3 – 4)	Internal (anonymised)	6 years
	Student file	12 – 36 months
Disciplinary Panel Outcomes – Sanctions (stage 5)	All – internal (anonymised)	6 years
Level 1 and 2 sanctions	Student file	12 months
Level 2 serious sanctions	Student file	12 – 36 months

22.3 Records will be kept according Norland’s [Data Protection policy](#), the [Student Privacy Notice](#) and the [Data Retention Schedule](#).

22.4 In order to ensure consistency of approach and procedural fairness, Norland records all fitness to study matters in an anonymised log which includes basic details such as:

- Date.
- The reason for fitness to study action.
- Stage 1 cause for action outcome i.e. no further action; informal resolution; formal investigation, including the reason as to why a specific outcome was reached.
- Stage 2 formal investigation outcome i.e. no further action; informal resolution; formal resolution; Fitness to Study Panel, including the reason as to why a specific outcome was reached.
- Stage 3 Fitness to Study Panel hearing outcome including the reason as to why a specific outcome was reached.



- Appeal outcome.
- Completion of Procedure letter issued.

As this is an anonymised internal record, it will be kept for a minimum of 6 years. It will be stored within the restricted access folder for fitness to study hearings.



APPENDIX 1 – CAUSE FOR ACTION NOTIFICATION FORM (To be used in conjunction with the Cause for Action procedure)

Date cause for action raised		
Name, status and contact details of Notifier		
Name, Set/Year If relevant and contact details of student/s concerned		
Brief outline of cause for action		
Checklist	Record created in secure folder	Date:
	Date student/s notified	Date:
Clarification meetings		
Date of meeting with Notifier		
Date of meeting/s with student/s		
Details of Cause for Action established		
Does the Notifier wish to remain anonymous?	Yes/No	
If yes, is there the potential of sufficient evidence to justify further action?	Yes/No	
Does the concern have the potential to cause harm to the student, to others or involve behaviour likely to bring Norland into disrepute?	Yes/No If yes, proceed to Formal Fitness to Study stage	
Date of initial decision by VP		
Initial decision	No further action	<input type="checkbox"/>



	Informal resolution	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Formal Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Formal Investigation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rationale for decision	<i>Include whether a concern has been admitted, the impact of the cause for action on others, the likelihood of sufficient evidence to meet the Standard of Proof, etc.</i>	
Informal resolution Details of actions to be taken	Informal Warning	Yes/No
	Other (give details)	
Formal resolution Details of actions to be taken	Formal warning	Yes/No
	Support Plan for Improvement	Yes/No
	Date of review	
	Other (give details)	
Name of person overseeing Support Plan for Improvement		
Formal Investigation Name of Investigating Officer appointed		
Date investigation implemented		



APPENDIX 2 – SUPPORT PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENT

Student Name	
Set	
Date	
Name of member of staff overseeing Support Plan for Improvement	
Completion Date	

The purpose of this Support Plan for Improvement is to set out a framework in which the above-named student can address areas of concern. These areas of concern are:

The following action plan has been agreed by the student and will be monitored by the above-named member of staff, who will support the student to meet the objectives *(please add or remove rows as required. The objectives and actions should fully address the areas of concern listed above).*

Objective	Actions to be taken	By when?	Progress

This Support Plan for Improvement should be reviewed and signed off by the Principal or Vice Principal on or before the Completion Date. Failure to demonstrate the required improvements by this date may result in further disciplinary action.

Signed Student	
Signed Staff	
Notice signed off by Principal or Vice Principal Date	



APPENDIX 3 – TEMPLATE FOR INVESTIGATION REPORT

Investigation report [This is a template investigation report that an investigator may adapt to suit the particular circumstances of their investigation]

Name of student under investigation	
Introduction	Investigation authorised by: [Name and role]
	Investigating Officer: [Name and role]
	Date investigation began:
	Outline of Cause for Action (<i>note – Cause for Action Notification Form should be attached to this form as an appendix</i>)

Process of investigation	The investigation process: [Explain how the investigation was authorised]
---------------------------------	--



	Evidence collected: [List all evidence collected]
	Evidence not collected: [List all evidence that could not be collected and why]
	Persons interviewed: [List all people interviewed]
	Persons not interviewed: [List any witnesses that could not be interviewed and why]
	Anonymised statements: [If any, explain why and provide details of how this evidence has been assessed]

The investigation findings	Summary of written and physical evidence: [name and summarise each document contained, set out how the evidence supported or did not support your findings and why]
-----------------------------------	--



	<p>Summary of witness evidence: [name and summarise each witness statement, quote from statement where relevant, set out how the witness statement supported or did not support your findings and why]</p>
	<p>Facts established: [detail what the investigation has established. <i>Note that the facts need to meet the Standard of Proof</i>]</p>
	<p>Facts that could not be established: [detail any part of the investigation that was inconclusive and how this evidence has been assessed] AND SAY WHY</p>
	<p>Outline any areas of the Code of Professional Responsibilities which may have been breached, lining this to the facts established</p>
	<p>Mitigating factors: [detail if there were any mitigating factors uncovered that are relevant to the investigation]</p>
	<p>Other relevant information: [detail any other information that is relevant to the matter]</p>

<p>Conclusion [if required]</p>	<p>Recommendation: No further action/ Informal Resolution / Formal Resolution with a Support Plan for Improvement /or other action/Proceed to Fitness to Study Panel</p>
--	--




	Rationale for recommendation: <i>[please outline the reasons for your recommendation, the evidence that supports it and how the Standard of Proof has been met]</i>
	Investigating Officer's signature: Date:

Vice Principal decision	No further action/ Informal Resolution / Formal Resolution with a Support Plan for Improvement /Proceed to Fitness to Study Panel
Rationale if decision is different to the IO's recommendation	

APPENDIX 4 – GUIDANCE NOTES ON CHAIRING FORMAL DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

The below checklist is for guidance purposes only, as it is recognised that the Chair will need to determine how best to manage any hearing given the individual circumstances of the case.

Please ensure that all discussions during this process are fair, appropriate and above reproach.



Introductions

- Welcome attendees.
- Request that all attendees turn off any electronic devices to avoid unnecessary interruptions during the meeting.
- Introduce those present and their roles, (see guidance re: roles/responsibilities); this may include: Panel members, Investigating Officer, note-taker, student and their representative etc.
- Discuss whether the meeting is to be recorded and, if this is necessary, gain recorded consent from all participants.
- Representation of student– If accompanied confirm the role of the companion, i.e. that they will be able to address the hearing in order to: put the Student’s’s case forward; sum up the case; respond to any view expressed at the hearing; and confer with the student. However, the representative will not usually answer questions on behalf of the student, but may do so with the agreement of the Panel.
- If not accompanied note that the student has been advised of their right to be accompanied but has chosen to attend unaccompanied.
- Ask the student to introduce themselves and any companion they may have with them. Check whether the participants are happy with use of first names.
- Confirm with the student that they have received the letter notifying them of the hearing and the associated documentation providing advanced disclosure of the case.
- Confirm with the student that they understand that it is a formal disciplinary hearing which will be conducted in line with Norland’s Cause for Action and Student Disciplinary procedure.
- Ask that all communications go through you as the Chair during the hearing.

Outline Procedure

- Advise of the procedure.
- Confirm Documentation provided – Identifying, if appropriate, any other documentation the panel has at their disposal for reference purposes. Also confirm if the Panel received any additional information/evidence from the student.
- Adjournments - Advise that should any party consider an adjournment necessary requests should be made via the Chair. Identify the location of the rooms available.
- Taking of Notes – Advise that the note-taker will take summary notes to support the Panel’s consideration. Should the student want a summary of these notes these can be provided on



request. Advise that both the student and their representative would be welcome to make their own notes.

- Respond to any procedural concerns/queries that may arise.

Running Order

1. The Investigating Officer may be invited in to present the case against the student and the evidence upon which this is based, which may include calling witnesses.
2. If the Investigating Officer is in attendance, the student, their representative if applicable and the panel will be given the opportunity to ask questions of the Investigating Officer and any witnesses, and to query the evidence provided.
3. If the Investigating Officer is not present, the Chair will outline the case against the student, based on the Investigation Report.
4. The student and their representative are then invited to present their case, which again may rely on witnesses.
5. The panel will correspondingly be allowed to ask questions of the student and any witnesses, including to ask for clarification about any discrepancies in the evidence.
6. Both the student and the Chair of the Panel will be invited to provide a brief concluding statement.
7. The Panel will adjourn to consider the case.

Present Case

- Hear case based on the above running order.

Adjournment & Decision

- Panel either adjourns or closes the hearing to consider the case.
- If the Panel is unable to make a decision as further information/time is required, inform the student as to when they can expect written notification of the decision (or if further action was deemed necessary what this would entail).
- When closing the hearing, ensure that everyone understands what is going to happen.
- Panel makes a decision
- Advise that the outcome of the hearing should be confirmed to the student in writing, usually within 7 days of the hearing. Include notification of their right to appeal and to whom any such appeal should be addressed. The student may be informed, verbally, immediately following the Panel's deliberations.

Record

- Record summary of the discussions, (including any agreed actions and timescales for improvement etc).
- Ensure that the records are factual, unambiguous and constructive (assume that the student may see these).
- Write to student summarising the outcome of hearing and any next steps.



- Store records securely, as these may be subsequently required within later procedural stages and shared with all relevant parties, including the student.



APPENDIX 5 – TEMPLATE FOR FITNESS TO STUDY HEARING REPORT

Fitness to Study Hearing report [This is a template hearing report that a note taker may adapt to suit the particular circumstances of the hearing]

Introduction	Hearing panel and other attendees: [Name and role]
	Date of hearing:
	Reference (normally the investigation report):

Process of hearing	Presentation of case by Investigating Officer or Chair of the Panel: [Summary of investigation report by Investigating Officer which may include calling of witnesses]
	Response from student: [May include clarification questions to Investigating Officer/witnesses]
	Response from panel: [May include clarification questions to Investigating Officer/witnesses]
	Presentation of case by student: [May include witnesses and presentation of additional evidence]
	Response from panel: [May include clarification questions to Student and/or witnesses]
	Concluding statement by student:
	Concluding statement by the Chair:



The hearing findings	Summary of panel's decision regarding outcome and any conditions: <i>[This should detail the following:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Whether the FTP concern is upheld and whether or not any action will be taken and, if so, state nature of concern, making links to the Code of Professional Responsibilities, the nature of the support required and the details of any conditions, such as participation in a Support Plan for Improvement including details of any requirements for the Support Plan for Improvement, such as objectives to be included on the Support Plan for Improvement date of review and any reparation needed</i>• <i>State the strength of evidence, based on the Standard of Proof</i>• <i>State the rationale for the selection of the support and/or objectives, including why other support measures were not deemed to be appropriate</i>• <i>State how compliance with any conditions will be monitored</i>• <i>the duration of the Support Plan for Improvement and how long this will be held on the student's online file</i>• <i>State how the decision will be communicated to any other party and to whom, for example any victims, placements (if relevant), staff and any other stakeholder]</i> Other relevant information: [detail any other information that is relevant to the matter]
-----------------------------	--



APPENDIX 6

Completion of Procedures Letter Template

Please note - the format may be adjusted to meet the individual circumstances of a complaint provided that the key points below are included.

Dear *[Name of complainant]*,

Completion of Procedures Letter

This letter confirms that the internal procedures of Norland College in relation to your *complaint / appeal etc** regarding *[please describe]* have been completed.

The issues that you raised in your *complaint / appeal etc* were [details]*

The issue(s) that were considered in relation to your *complaint / appeal etc was / were**: *[brief summary of the complaint etc]*.

The final decision of Norland College is* *[detail]* because *[reasons]*.

Norland College subscribes to the independent scheme for the review of student complaints. If you are dissatisfied with the outcome you may be able to apply for a review of *your complaint / appeal etc** to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) provided that the complaint you take to the OIA is eligible under its Rules.

Should you decide to make a complaint to the OIA, your OIA Complaint Form **must be received by the OIA** within **12 months** of the date of this letter, that is, it must be received by the OIA **on or before** *[insert date - e.g. if the Completion of Procedures Letter is dated 9 July 2015, this date should be 9 July 2016]*.

[Include here any factors of which the provider is aware which mean that it is particularly important for the student to bring the complaint promptly.]

You can fill in the OIA's complaint form online or download a copy from the OIA website.

<https://www.oiahe.org.uk/students/how-to-complain-to-us/>. The OIA also publishes *An Introduction to the OIA Scheme for Students*, which can be downloaded from

<https://www.oiahe.org.uk/students/can-you-complain-to-us/>. Alternatively, you can telephone or write to the OIA for a form. **You should send a copy of this letter to the OIA with your OIA Complaint Form.**

Guidance on submitting a complaint to the OIA and the OIA Complaint Form can also be found on the OIA's website <https://www.oiahe.org.uk/students/how-to-complain-to-us/>. You may also wish to seek advice from the Students' Union about taking your complaint to the OIA.



Please note that the OIA will normally only review issues that have been dealt with through the provider's internal procedures.

Yours sincerely,

[Authorised signatory]



Document Control Information	
Policy Title:	Fitness to Study Policy
Version number:	V5.0/ME/04-10-2024
Owner:	Vice Principal, Head of Quality and Standards and Registrar
Approving Body:	Academic Board
Date of approval:	4 th October 2024
Date of effect:	As above
Date for review:	November 2025
Related Norland Documents:	Fitness to Practise Policy